UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/771,268 | 02/03/2004 | Heng Liao | PAT 2241-2 US | 2322 |
| 26123 7590 04/29/2008 BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP Anne Kinsman WORLD EXCHANGE PLAZA 100 QUEEN STREET SUITE 1100 OTTAWA, ON K1P 1J9 CANADA | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | TRAN, TUNG Q | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2616 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/29/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com aarmstrongbaker@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/771,268 | LIAO ET AL. | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | TUNG Q. TRAN | 2616 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>TUNG Q. TRAN</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>MUKUNDAN CHAKRAPANI</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 24 April 2008. | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,4 and 9</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Rejections under 35 USC 112 First Paragraph of claims 1, 4, and 9 were discussed. Examiner specially pointed out which limitations are not supported by the specification. Mr. Chakrapani showed where those limitations are supported in the specification. Examiner told Mr. Chakrapani that he will reconsider the case when receiving offical response from Applicant. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO | | | | | | |
| FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | /Kwang B. Yao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | Examiner's signature, if requi | | | | | |